



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,868	02/13/2002	Josef Schmid	3	9427
75	90 04/27/2006		EXAM	INER
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)			TABONE JR, JOHN J	
Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords corner Road ART UNIT PA				PAPER NUMBER
Holmdel, NJ			2138 DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummany	10/075,868	SCHMID, JOSEF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John J. Tabone, Jr.	2138				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	bruary 2006.					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22-28 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority ariasi so sie.e. 3 1 10(a)	(4) 51 (1).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

FINAL DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 22-28 remain in the application and have been examined.

2. The Examiner withdraws the 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejection of the previous Office Action of Record based on Applicants' arguments filed 2/21/2006, page 5.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' arguments with respect to independent claim 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

- 4. The amendment to the claims filed on 2/21/2006 does not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121(c) because the status identifier "Previously Presently" in claim 27 is not one of the accepted status identifiers which are (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New), and (Not entered). Amendments to the claims filed on or after July 30, 2003 must comply with 37 CFR 1.121(c).
- 5. Claim 22 is objected to because the limitation "at other times the combinational local path <u>not interfering</u> with the operation of the scan chain due to maintaining the test data input at operating voltage" does not read correctly. It should read "...combinational local path does not interfere with...". Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 22 and 26:

The claim limitation "measuring the time interval for transmission of a test signal" is not found in the specification and is being <u>considered as new matter added</u> to the claims. Also, not only is "measuring the time interval for transmission of a test signal" not found in the specification, it is also a much broader limitation than the previously presented "performing delay measurements" and would, therefore, require explanation in the disclosure. This explanation cannot be found in the specification in any way.

Further, due to the new matter presented in the amended claims 22 and 26 the claims will not be examined on the merits.

Claims 23-25 and 27-28:

These claims are also rejected because they depend on claim 22 and have the same problems of failing to comply with the written description requirement.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 22:

- a.) This claim recites the limitation "the time interval" in lines 1 and 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- b.) The Examiner does not know particularly what claim limitation "in a first time period" means and, therefore, renders the claim vague and indefinite. What does this "first time period" relate to?
- c.) The claim limitation "at other times" renders the claim vague and indefinite.

 The Examiner does not know what this means.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/075,868

Art Unit: 2138

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Tabone, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Tabone, Jr.

Examiner
Art Unit 2138

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2122

Page 5